

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAGO, Inc., individually and on ) Case No. 07-5944 SC  
behalf of all others similarly )  
situated, )

Plaintiffs, ) ORDER RELATING AND  
CONSOLIDATING CASES

v. )

CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD., )  
CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES (Malaysia) )  
Sdn. Bhd., HITACHI, LTD., HITACHI )  
AMERICA, LTD., HITACHI ASIA, LTD., )  
IRICO GROUP CORP., IRICO DISPLAY )  
DEVICES CO., LTD., LG ELECTRONICS, )  
INC., MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC )  
INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD., PANASONIC )  
CORPORATION OF NORTH AMERICA, ORION )  
ELECTRIC CO., LTD., ORION AMERICA, )  
INC., KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS )  
ELECTRONICS N.V., PHILIPS )  
ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA, SAMSUNG )  
SDI CO., LTD., SAMSUNG SDI AMERICA, )  
INC., SAMTEL COLOR, LTD., THAI CRT )  
COMPANY, LTD., TOSHIBA CORPORATION, )  
BEIJING-MATSUSHITA COLOR CRT )  
COMPANY, LTD., MATSUSHITA TOSHIBA )  
PICTURE DISPLAY CO., LTD., and LP )  
DISPLAYS INTERNATIONAL, LTD., )

Defendants. )

The Court has previously issued orders RELATING the following  
cases with the above-captioned case:

07-6433-SC  
07-5981-SC  
07-6279-SC  
07-6303-SC  
07-6331-SC  
07-6225-SC  
07-6416-SC

1 In addition, the Court hereby finds that the following cases  
2 are also RELATED:

3 08-178-EDL

4 08-309-MMC

5 The Court also finds that all of the above-captioned cases  
6 are suitable for CONSOLIDATION for the purposes of discovery and  
7 pre-trial hearings. This consolidation is warranted by common  
8 questions of law and fact that pervade these individual matters.  
9 Consolidation will facilitate discovery and conserve judicial  
10 resources.

11 The consolidated cases will henceforth be referred to as "IN  
12 RE: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation." All documents  
13 are to be filed under case number 07-5944-SC and all future  
14 filings are to bear the initials "SC" immediately after the case  
15 number.

16 All motions and proceedings now pending in any of these cases  
17 is hereby STAYED pending a decision by the Multi District  
18 Litigation (MDL) Panel on Plaintiff's Motion for Centralization in  
19 case MDL No. 1917.

20  
21  
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23  
24 Dated: January 22, 2008



25  
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
27  
28